

Encouraging Patients and Family Caregivers to Assert a More Active Role During Care Hand-Offs: The Care Transitions InterventionSM

What is the Model?

During a 4-week program, patients with complex care needs and family caregivers receive specific tools and work with a “Transition Coach,” to learn self-management skills that will ensure their needs are met during the transition from hospital to home. This is a low-cost, low-intensity intervention comprised of a home visit and three phone calls.

What Are the Key Findings?

Patients who received this program were significantly less likely to be readmitted to the hospital, and the benefits were sustained for five months after the end of the one-month intervention. Thus, rather than simply managing post-hospital care in a reactive manner, imparting self-management skills pays dividends long after the program ends. Anticipated cost savings for 350 chronically ill adults with an initial hospitalization over 12 months is \$ 295,594. Patients who received this program were also more likely to achieve self-identified personal goals around symptom management and functional recovery.

What Makes this Model Unique?

In contrast to traditional case management approaches, the Care Transitions Intervention is a self-management model. The Care Transitions Program has modeled national Medicare data sets to demonstrate the frequency with which older adults making care transitions across settings will experience another transition in the near future. In other words, for most of these individuals, there will be a “next time”. Using qualitative techniques, the Care Transitions Program worked with older adults to identify the key self-management skills needed to assert a more active role in their care. Next a Transition Coach was introduced to help impart these skills and help the individual and the family caregiver become more confident in this new role. Although critics are quick to point out that this is only applicable to highly educated or motivated patients, our studies have shown that most patients and family caregivers are able to become engaged and do considerably more for themselves. In essence, the model involves making an investment in helping patients and family caregivers become more comfortable and competent in participating in their care during care transitions. Five months after the Transition Coach signed off, these patients continued to remain out of the hospital demonstrating a sustained effect from investing in a self-care approach.

The Intervention Focuses on Four Conceptual Domains Referred to as Pillars:

1. Medication self-management
2. Use of a dynamic patient-centered record, the Personal Health Record
3. Timely primary care/specialty care follow up
4. Knowledge of red flags that indicate a worsening in their condition and how to respond

The Following Materials Are Available at No Cost:

- The business case for implementing the Care Transitions Intervention model
- Training manual, video clips of the model in action, training DVD request form
- Medication reconciliation tool, the Medication Discrepancy Tool (MDT)
- NQF endorsed quality measure, the Care Transitions Measure (CTM)

The Evidence Base for the Model

1. Coleman EA, Parry C, Chalmers S, Min SJ. "The Care Transitions Intervention: Results of a Randomized Controlled Trial" Archives of Internal Medicine 2006;166:1822-8.
2. Coleman EA, Smith JD, Frank JC, Min S, Parry C, Kramer AM. Preparing Patients and Caregivers to Participate in Care Delivered Across Settings: The Care Transitions Intervention. J. of the Amer Geriatrics Society. 2004;52(11):1817-1825.
3. Parry, C., Kramer, H, Coleman, EA. 'A Qualitative Exploration of a Patient-Centered Coaching Intervention to Improve Care Transitions in Chronically Ill Older Adults'. Home Health Care Services Quarterly. 2006;25(3-4):39-53.
4. Parry C, Coleman EA, Smith JD, Frank JC, Kramer AM. The Care Transitions Intervention: A Patient-Centered Approach to Facilitating Effective Transfers Between Sites of Geriatric Care. Home Health Services Quart. 2003;22(3):1-18.
5. Coleman, EA, Mahoney E, Parry C. Assessing the Quality of Preparation for Post-Hospital Care from the Patient's Perspective: The Care Transitions Measure. Medical Care. 2005;43(3):246-255.
6. Coleman EA, Smith JD, Raha D, Min SJ. Post-Hospital Medication Discrepancies: Prevalence, Types and Contributing Factors. Arch of Int Med 2005;165(16)1842-1847.
7. Smith JD, Coleman EA, Min S. Identifying Post-Acute Medication Discrepancies in Community Dwelling Older Adults: A New Tool. American Journal of Geriatric Pharmacotherapy. 2004;2(2):141-148.

Project Sponsors

The John A. Hartford Foundation and The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

Where Can I Learn More?

Please visit www.caretransitions.org where you can learn more about the model and its evidence base and to access patient tools, performance measures, medication safety tools and much more. You may also contact Eric Coleman, MD, MPH, directly at Eric.Coleman@uchsc.edu.

MEDICARE COMPLIANCE

CMS Targets Readmission Through Payment, Audits; ‘Coaching’ Model Reduces Rates

CMS is targeting readmissions to the hospital within 30 days of discharge as a probable marker for both poor quality of care and money going down the drain. While CMS weighs Medicare reimbursement cuts for readmissions, it also is investing in strategies to lower readmission rates. One CMS-funded study by the Medicare quality improvement organization (QIO) for Colorado found that coaching patients during and after their hospital stays can reduce readmissions by as much as 50%. And now CMS is funding as many as 18 QIO projects aimed at reducing readmissions in communities around the country.

“This is not primarily about people being rehospitalized because of mistakes made in the hospital,” says Stephen Jencks, M.D., a former senior clinical adviser to CMS. “This is about making transitions effectively [to physicians, community resources or post-acute care]. This is about taking care of people with ongoing problems or chronic illnesses and frailty. When the transition is not done well, evidence suggests they wind up back in the hospital.”

Almost 18% of Medicare patients are readmitted within 30 days of discharge, CMS said in the proposed inpatient prospective payment system (IPPS) rule for fiscal year 2009. Thirteen percent of the readmissions — \$12 billion worth — were “potentially avoidable,” the IPPS rule states. That’s just the money part. Readmissions, CMS added, may be linked to poor quality of care.

CMS is seeking public comment on three proposals to take the financial reward out of readmissions: (1) direct adjustments to DRG payments for preventable readmissions, (2) adjustments to DRG payments through a performance-based payment methodology, and (3) public reporting of readmission rates, according to the IPPS rule.

Readmissions have already hit the Medicare program-integrity radar screen. For one thing, readmissions within 30 days recently were added to the list of Hospital Payment Monitoring Program (HPMP) risk areas. HPMP is CMS’s main vehicle to reduce inpatient payment errors, but it’s ending July 31 after nine years. However, CMS’s other program-integrity con-

tractors, including recovery audit contractors and zone program-integrity contractors, will continue hospital post-payment audits.

“Focusing on readmissions is a great way to tackle inappropriate use of hospital stays,” maintains Jane Brock, M.D., medical officer for the Colorado Foundation for Medical Care, the QIO that did the study for CMS on reducing readmissions. She says readmissions are “the intersection of three things we care about: cost, quality and patient safety.”

There are multiple reasons for readmissions. The way the system works, providers are paid for providing separate services, so care is often fragmented. Hospitals pay discharge planners, and home health agencies pay intake coordinators, “but no one makes sure the patient got from Point A to Point B,” Brock says.

Also, a lot of patients aren’t seen by physicians promptly after discharge, says Jencks, who is now a Baltimore-based consultant. The discharge planner may not make it clear to patients that they need to be seen right away (depending on their condition), and “many doctors’ offices are not run in a very patient-friendly way,” he says.

Another problem — “reconciling medications” — can ultimately land patients back in the hospital, Jencks says. They may be unclear about which medications they are supposed to resume taking, which to stop taking and which new ones to start taking. And “summaries from the hospital aren’t going to the doctor fast enough to be useful in the immediate post-discharge period,” he says. But there’s no one at the hospital to call for help.

Jencks says that “there are two simple but important activities” hospitals can do unilaterally to help reduce the likelihood of Medicare readmissions. They are (1) establish an emergency call number at the hospital to help patients until their primary care physicians take over, and (2) make sure patients don’t walk out the door until they have made a follow-up appointment (and if it’s a patient with a significant chronic condition, the appointment must be within the first week after discharge).

continued

Coaching Drives Rates Down

The Colorado Foundation for Medical Care cut the readmission rate significantly for patients in a special CMS-funded project, Brock says. The study used the "Care Transitions Intervention" model designed by Eric Coleman, M.D., of the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, she says.

Coleman's model calls for a coach — a nurse who is at least an RN — to help patients transition back to the community and improve patient self-management. The coach visits the patient once in the hospital and once at home within 48 hours of discharge. The coach also calls the patient three times. Alicia Goroski, a project manager for the Colorado QIO, explains that the coach is not providing care. Instead, the coach is engaging the patient in his or her own recovery and self-management. "Patients, after all, are really the ones in charge of their daily care and must know how and be able to carry out the care plan," says Risa Hayes, a quality improvement coach at the Colorado QIO.

During the five contacts with the patient, the coach focuses on "four pillars": (1) medication self-management, (2) use of a patient-centered record (a user-friendly booklet for the patient to record a brief patient history, medications, allergies, immediate health goals and questions for the doctor), (3) follow-up with primary care physicians and specialists, and (4) knowledge of red flags — signs that the patient's condition is getting worse and how to respond.

"The majority of the home visit is spent on medication discrepancies," Goroski says. Usually when patients get home, they have a lot of questions. Do I still take my old medications? Are the new medications a different version of ones I already take? Am I not supposed to take this one, or did they just forget to put it on the list? "The coach has the patient gather all their medications, prescriptions and the hospital discharge summary. The coach 'quizzes' the patient on each medication, sorts out the duplicates and discrepancies and has them call their primary care physician" to get definitive answers, Hayes says. Most of the coaching is completed in fewer than 28 days after discharge.

Each coach worked with a patient for an average of four weeks to "test the feasibility of the intervention," Goroski says.

The Colorado QIO applied Coleman's model to 248 patients in one Denver-area "community," she says. A community was defined as at least one hospital, one home health agency, one skilled nursing facility (SNF) or rehabilitation facility and one physician office. "We wanted to get all those entities to work together because so many of these patients cycle through all four of those settings," she says.

The results were impressive:

- ◆ **14 days after discharge:** 8% of coached patients were readmitted, compared with 17% of uncoached patients.
- ◆ **30 days after discharge:** 13% of coached patients were readmitted, compared with 20% of uncoached patients.
- ◆ **60 days after discharge:** 15% of coached patients were readmitted, compared with 29% of uncoached patients.

"That means at two months following discharge, coached patients were half as likely to have been readmitted as the uncoached patients," Brock says. The reason the results are so striking is that CMS is requiring QIOs that implement Care Transitions projects over the next three years to achieve only a 2% reduction in the readmission rate (as long as it's statistically significant). Even a 2% cut in readmissions would save Medicare millions, but obviously greater reductions are achievable. However, at the time the QIO projects were put out to bid, the Colorado QIO did not yet know the results of its coaching study.

CMS will be funding up to 18 Care Transitions projects to reduce readmissions around the country in the QIOs' ninth Scope of Work (its next set of contracts), which starts Aug. 1. QIOs can try different approaches to reduce readmissions, as the coaching method is not mandated.

Contact Brock at jbrock@coqio.sdps.org and Jencks at steve.jencks@comcast.net. ◆