



*CMSA Resolution on PHI Institute adopted
by the Board of CMSA, November 4, 2005*

**Case Management Society of America
Support for the Principles and Processes of the
Population Health Impact Institute**

Whereas, the Case Management Society of America's (CMSA) Board of Directors, on behalf of its members/subscribers recognize the importance of using scientifically credible, transparent, and replicable impact evaluation methods to evaluate the impact of case management and other defined population health management programs (such as disease management, wellness, benefit designs and other programs), on clinical, economic, service, quality or other outcomes; and

Whereas, the CMSA believes that such methods are necessary to enable buyers to better compare and contrast different case management programs with each other and case management to other types of population health interventions; in addition, such methods have the potential to enable comparisons of all types of population health programs to each other; and

Whereas, the CMSA believes that impact evaluation standards--based upon the core principles of scientific credibility, transparency, and replicability -- must be directed by an impartial entity, with advice from a balanced group of stakeholders, including health program buyers, sellers and professional evaluation experts; and

Whereas, the above principles form the foundation of a non-profit organization known as the Population Health Impact (PHI Institute), and represent the most useful framework currently available for stakeholder consensus building for development of generally accepted impact evaluation standards; now be it hereby resolved that:

The CMSA supports the mission, principles, and impact evaluation standards setting process of the PHI Institute, and encourages its members and others in the health care industry, including providers, payers, consumer organizations, private industry and government purchasers, to do the same.